Certification of Record

State of Mississippi County of Warren

I, Shelly Ashley-Palmertree, Clerk of the Circuit and County Court of Warren County, Mississippi, do hereby certify that the attached papers are true and correct copy of filed in Civil Action No. 09,0079-CI on the Civil Docket of the Circuit Court of Warren County, Mississippi, in an action styled Lisa Thompson et al VS. Remington Arms Company et al.

And I further certify that the said Circuit Court is a court of record with an official seal and that I am the Clerk of the said Circuit Court and the custodian of the records and seal of said court.

In testimony where of I have here unto set my hand and affixed the seal of said court in the city of Vicksburg, on the 24 day of July 24, 2009.

Shelly Ashley-Palmertree, Circuit Clerk

Kill & Sunner



[Minor's Name Redacted Throughout by Defense Counsel]

EXHIBIT "A"

LISA THOMPSON, AS NATURAL MOTHER AND NEXT OF FRIEND OF T.S. A MINOR

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CAUSE NO. <u>09.007</u>9-CI

REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., SPORTING GOODS PROPERTIES, INC., E.I. DU PONT DENEMOURS AND COMPANY, JOHN DOES 1 THROUGH 10

DEFENDANTS

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, the Plaintiffs, Lisa Thompson, mother and natural guardian and next of friend of T.S., a minor, and files this Complaint against the Defendants, and would show unto the Court the following, to-wit:

I.

Plaintiffs were at all times material to this action residents of Vicksburg, Warren County, Mississippi.

II.

At all times herein Lisa Thompson is the natural mother and next of friend of the Plaintiff

T.S.

FILED

Ш.

JUL 1 0 2009

Made defendants herein are:

SHELLY ASHLEY-PALMERTREE, CIRCUIT CLERK
BY D.C.

- a. Defendant, Remington Arms Company, Inc., is a foreign corporation, engaged directly or indirectly in the manufacturing, marketing, distribution and sale of firearms, including, but not limited to the firearms in issue in this case and may be served through the Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, De 19801;
- b. Defendant, Sporting Goods Properties, Inc., (hereinafter "SGPI") is a foreign corporation, engaged directly or indirectly in manufacturing, marketing, distribution and sale of firearms,

including, but not limited to the firearm in issue in this case and may be served at c/o Remington Arms Company, Inc., Tony Beldon, 870 Remington Ave., P.O. Box 700, Madison, N.C. 270025-0700; and/or Corporation Trust Company Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, DE 19801.

- c. Defendant, E.I. DU Pont De Nemours and Company, (hereinafter "Du Pont") is a foreign corporation, engaged directly and indirectly in manufacturing, marketing, distribution and sale of firearms, including, but not limited to the firearm in issue in this case and may be served at 1007 Market Street, D-4076 DuPont Legal, Wilmington, DE, 19898;
- d. And John Does 1 through 5, any other defendants, whose names are learned during the course of discovery to have had contributing responsibility in the manufacturing, production and marketing of the firearm in question; and
- e. And John Does 6 Through 10, any successor in business to any of the above or predecessor in interest to any of the above.

IV.

JURISDICTION OF THIS COURT

This Complaint exceeds the minimum jurisdictional amount and the incident took place in Warren County, Mississippi and therefore jurisdiction and venue are proper in this matter. Furthermore, this Honorable Court has jurisdiction over the Defendants through the Mississippi Long Arm Statute.

V.

The herein above defendants are justly, legally, and jointly and severally indebted unto the Plaintiff by reason of the following to-wit:

FACTS OF INCIDENT

VI.

On July 12, 2006, T.S.

was injured while using a Remington 12 Gage Shotgun,

Page 2 of 12

Model 11, bearing Serial No. 337980.

VII.

On July 12, 2006, T.S. was cleaning his shotgun with the safety on when the subject shotgun discharged.

VIII.

Upon information and belief, at all times pertinent herein, the firearm in question was in asmanufactured condition and had not been materially altered or modified.

IX.

Upon information and belief, T.S. was attempting to clean his gun by pushing the barrel in while the shotgun had the safety on when the gun discharged a shotgun shell striking the right extremity of T.S. causing serious injury to his side and arm and hand.

X.

T.S. has permanently lost use of his right arm and hand and has suffered surgery and will suffer future additionally surgery.

XI.

The Plaintiff did not know and had no reason to suspect the Remington Shotgun would or could discharge with the safety on under the afore mentioned circumstances.

XII.

T.S. has suffered pain, disability, disfigurement, loss of his right arm and hand, and emotional distress as well as substantial medical bills and other damages as a result of this incident.

FAULT OF THE DEFENDANTS REMINGTON, SGPI, AND DUPONT

XIII.

Defendants Remington, SGPI, DuPont (hereinafter "Remington") are liable under the Mississippi Product Liability Act for manufacturing and selling a shotgun that is defective in design,

construction, and/or warning with said defects to Plaintiffs, as described in more detail below.

XIV.

The firearm in question was defective and unreasonably dangerous for normal or foreseeable use and handling conditions and particularly the incident facts as disclosed herein and at the trial hereof.

XV.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont manufactured a Model 11, 12 Gage Automatic Shotgun bearing Serial No. 337980.

XVI.

The injuries to T.S. were caused by the unreasonably dangerous conditions and design features of the Remington shotgun.

XVII.

The firearm in question was defective and unreasonably dangerous for normal or foreseeable use and handling conditions.

XVIII.

At all times pertinent herein Plaintiff's conduct was foreseeable by Defendants.

XIX.

The Defendant, Remington Arms Company, Inc., had an interest in and played a part in allowing the shotgun to be sent to and/or remain in the market place and stream of commerce, exposing the general public, including T.S., to injury or death.

XX.

Upon information and belief, the Defendant, Sporting Goods Properties, Inc., had an interest in and played a part in allowing the shotgun to be sent to and/or remain in the market place and stream of commerce, exposing the general public, including T.S., to injury or death.

Page 4 of 12

XXI.

Upon information and belief, the Defendant, DuPont, had an interest in and played a part in allowing the shotgun to be sent to and/or remain in the market place and stream of commerce, exposing the general public, including T.S., to injury or death.

XXII.

The firearm in question was designed, manufactured, constructed, fabricated, assembled, merchandised, advertised, promoted, sold and/or distributed by the Defendants, Remington, SGPI, and DuPont, individually and/or in combination herein, for use and general distribution and sale throughout the United States including and without limitation the State of Mississippi.

XXIII.

Had T.S. been give notice by Remington, SGPI, DuPont of the risk of the faulty shotgun in question, he would not have accepted nor attempted to clean the shotgun at the time of the incident in question.

XXIV.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont could have predicted and anticipated the use and accident condition (as alleged herein) with the use of reasonable care and proper safety engineering and design practices.

XXV.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont are guilty of gross negligence and reckless disregard for safety and at fault also by having failed to adequately warn and instruct any and all potential and foreseeable persons exposed to the dangers of the firearm and the dangers in using the firearms.

XXVI.

With the use of reasonable effort and care, Remington, SGPI, DuPont could have included in the design, production, and sale of the firearm in question, reasonably feasible and viable safety

systems or devices so as to have prevented the injuries to T.S

XXVII.

At the time of the design, production and sale of the firearm in question, alternative designs and systems were reasonably feasible and available with reasonable effort that would have eliminated or greatly reduced the risk of the accident in question.

XXVIII.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont failed to take all reasonably feasible and practical steps to reduce the chance of injury or death as suggested by the preceding paragraphs.

XXIX.

At the time of the sale of the firearm in question, there were reasonably available safety and design concepts in existence that would have eliminated or greatly reduced the risk causing T.S.'s injuries if utilized in the firearm in question.

XXX.

The magnitude of the risks presented by the firearm in question under the accident circumstances as alleged herein outweighed utility of the firearm as sold.

XXXI.

The firearm in question was unsafe to an extent beyond which would be contemplated by an ordinary consumer.

XXXII.

The firearm in question was sold and distributed by Defendants, Remington, SGPI, and DuPont, individually or in concert with each other.

XXXIV.

A shotgun, in proper working order, should not fire while the safety is on under normal conditions.

Page 6 of 12

XXXV.

A shotgun that discharges while the safety is on under normal conditions presents an unreasonable risk of harm.

XXXVI.

The danger of shotgun that will discharge while the safety is on outweighs the utility of the shotgun.

XXXVII.

The magnitude of the risks presented by the product in question under the incident circumstances as alleged herein, outweighed utility of the shotgun as sold.

XXXVIII.

T.S. did not appreciate the magnitude of the risk associated with the use of the shotgun under the incident conditions as alleged herein.

XXX.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont represented that the firearm in question was safe when used properly.

XL.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont impliedly and/or expressly warranted that the shotgun in question was of merchantable quality, fit, and safe.

XLI.

The firearm in question was not of merchantable quality, fit, or safe for use, because it discharged with the safety on.

XLII.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont knew the users of their shotguns would try and clean the firearm.

XLIII.

Page 7 of 12

Remington, SGPI, DuPont did not tell the users of their shotgun that it would discharge with the safety on under circumstances set forth above.

XLIV.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont failed to appreciate the magnitude of risks of injury or death to the shotgun's users from handling the shotgun as stated above.

XLV.

Upon information and belief Remington, SGPI, DuPont failed to properly and fully test and inspect the shotgun prior to releasing and marketing it to the public.

XLVI.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont failed to properly analyze the design so as to determine, prior to production, distribution, and commercialization of the product, that it had hidden and unreasonable risks of discharge during foreseeable or predictable handling conditions.

XLVII.

Remington, SGPI, DuPont did not recall the shotgun in question and place public notices and warnings concerning the shotgun to let people know that it could discharge during foreseeable or predictable handling conditions.

XLVIII.

A shotgun does not discharge with the safety on in the absence of fault.

XLIX.

The injury-producing characteristics of the firearm in question were at all times within the exclusive control of Remington, SGPI, DuPont.

L.

Plaintiffs did not contribute to the injury-causing attributes of the firearm in question.

LI.

Page 8 of 12

At the time of its manufacture, the Remington shotgun, as designed, was not reasonably safe because the likelihood that the shotgun would cause T.S. and the seriousness of that harm, outweighed the burden on Remington, SGPI, DuPont to design a shotgun that would have prevented the harm and any adverse effect that a practical and feasible alternative design would have on the usefulness of the shotgun that caused harm to T.S.

LII.

That pursuant to the Mississippi Products Liability Act, Miss. Code Ann. § 11-1-63 that the Defendants Remington, SGPI, DuPont that the shotgun in question at the time it left the control of the manufacture or seller the shotgun was defective because it deviated in a material way from the manufacturers specifications or from otherwise identical units manufactured at the same manufacturing sections, specifications, or the product was defective because it failed to contain an adequate warnings or instructions, or the shotgun was designed in a defective manner, or breached a express warranty or breached to conform to other express factual representation upon which the Plaintiff justifiably relied in electing to use the product; and the defective condition rendered the condition unreasonably dangerous to the user or consumer; and the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of the product proximately caused damages for which recovery is sought.

LIII.

Furthermore pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. § 11-1-63, the Mississippi Product Liability Act, the shotgun's defective design was not an inherit characteristic of the product which is a generic aspect of the product for which it cannot be eliminated without substantially compromising the products usefulness or desirability which is recognized by the ordinary person or the ordinary knowledge common to the community.

LIV.

Pursuant to the Mississippi Product Liability Act, the Defendants Remington, SGPI, DuPont

knew or should have known at the time the product left the control of the manufacturer or seller about the danger that caused the damage for which recovery is sought and that the ordinary user and consumer would not realize its dangerous condition.

LV.

Pursuant to the Mississippi Product Liability Act, the Defendants Remington, SGPI, DuPont failed to provide adequate warning in that the shotgun could discharge even with the safety on.

LVI.

And the Defendants Remington, SGPI, DuPont are responsible for liability based on the Mississippi Product Liability Act and said statue is plead in its entirety pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. § 11-1-63, as if copied herein.

LVII.

The unsafe condition of the firearm in question was a proximate cause of the harm and damages caused to T.S.

LVIII.

Remington, SGPI, and DuPont are liable to T.S. and his mother for the harms and losses caused by its product.

DAMAGES CAUSED BY DEFENDANTS

LIX.

Due to Defendants' fault in causing the injuries to T.S., Plaintiffs have suffered and will suffer damages in the following, but not exclusive, particulars, to-wit:

- a. Past, present and future loss of enjoyment of life;
- b. Past, present and future extreme emotional distress;
- c. Past, present and future extreme pain and suffering;
- d. Permanent disfigurement;

Page 10 of 12

- e. Disability;
- f. Loss of his right arm and hand;
- g. Loss of income and other special damages;
- h. Loss of past, present and future earning capacity;
- I. Past, present and future medical bills and treatment; and
- j. Any and all damages for the injuries to T.S. as shall be determined to have been sustained and/or allowed by law.

LX.

The damages resulting to Plaintiff were occasioned and proximately caused by the faulty, defective and unreasonably dangerous conditions and vices of the firearm manufactured and marketed by the Defendants.

LXI.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

Based on the gross and wanton negligence and/or reckless disregard for the safety of others, the Defendants Remington, SGPI, DuPont, are also responsible for punitive damages to the Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE PLAINTIFFS PRAY that a certified copy of the foregoing Complaint be served upon the Defendants, Remington, SGPI, DuPont, and that the Defendants, Remington, SGPI, DuPont, be duly cited to appear and answer and after the necessary legal delays, requisites, formalities, and trial had, there be Judgment herein in favor of the Plaintiffs, Lisa Thompson, as mother and natural guardian and next of friend T.S., a minor, and against the Defendants, Remington, SGPI, DuPont, jointly and severally, for any and all compensatory damages as shall be determined to be just, fair, and reasonable under the circumstances, together with legal interest from date of judicial demand until paid, and for all costs of these proceedings, and also punitive damages.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

LISA THOMPSON, AS NATURAL MOTHER AND NEXT OF FRIEND OF T.S. A MINOR

BY: 19.7.

LEE D. THAMES, JR., MSB# 10314 DAVID M. SESSUMS, MSB# 6714

OF COUNSEL: VARNER, PARKER & SESSUMS, P.A. 1110 Jackson Street Post Office Box 1237 Vicksburg, Mississippi 39181-1237 Telephone: 601/638-8741

Facsimile: 601/638-8666 Email: ldt@vpslaw.com

LISA THOMPSON, AS NATURAL MOTHER AND NEXT OF FRIEND OF $\overline{T.S.}$ A MINOR

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CAUSE NO. 09.0074-CE

REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., SPORTING GOODS PROPERTIES, INC., E.I. DU PONT DENEMOURS AND COMPANY

DEFENDANTS

SUMMONS

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

To: E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

By and through their registered agent:

1007 Market Street

D-4076 DuPont Legal

Wilmington, DE, 19898

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT(S)

THE COMPLAINT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

You are required to mail or hand-deliver a copy of a written response to the following attorney for the Plaintiff: Lee D. Thames, Jr., VARNER, PARKER & SESSUMS, P.A., 1110 Jackson Street Post Office Box 1237, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39181(601) 638-8741.

Your response must be mailed or hand-delivered within thirty (30) days from the date of delivery of this Summons and Complaint or a judgment of default will be entered against you for the money or other things demanded in the Complaint.

You must also file the original of your response with the clerk of this court within a reasonable time afterwards.

CIRCUIT CLERK OF WARREN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

LISA THOMPSON, AS NATURAL MOTHER AND NEXT OF FRIEND OF T.S. A MINOR

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CAUSE NO. <u>D4.0074</u>-CT

REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., SPORTING GOODS PROPERTIES, INC., E.I. DU PONT DENEMOURS AND COMPANY, JOHN DOES 1 THROUGH 10

DEFENDANTS

SUMMONS

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

To: REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC.

By and through its registered agent:

Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street

Willimington, DE 19801

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT(S)

THE COMPLAINT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

You are required to mail or hand-deliver a copy of a written response to the following attorney for the Plaintiff: Lee D. Thames, Jr., VARNER, PARKER & SESSUMS, P.A., 1110 Jackson Street Post Office Box 1237, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39181(601) 638-8741.

Your response must be mailed or hand-delivered within thirty (30) days from the date of delivery of this Summons and Complaint or a judgment of default will be entered against you for the money or other things demanded in the Complaint.

You must also file the original of your response with the clerk of this court within a reasonable time afterwards.

Issued under my hand and seal of this court this the Loday of July, 2009.

CIRCUIT CLERK OF WARREN

Mu billulli.

LISA THOMPSON, AS NATURAL MOTHER AND NEXT OF FRIEND OF T.S. A MINOR

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CAUSE NO. <u>09, 007</u>9-6_

REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., SPORTING GOODS PROPERTIES, INC., E.I. DU PONT DENEMOURS AND COMPANY, JOHN DOES 1 THROUGH 10

DEFENDANTS

SUMMONS

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

To: SPORTING GOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

By and through its registered agent:
Remington Arms Company, Inc.
Tony Beldon
P.O. Box 700

Madison, NC 270025-0700

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT(S)

THE COMPLAINT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

You are required to mail or hand-deliver a copy of a written response to the following attorney for the Plaintiff: Lee D. Thames, Jr., VARNER, PARKER & SESSUMS, P.A., 1110 Jackson Street Post Office Box 1237, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39181(601) 638-8741.

Your response must be mailed or hand-delivered within thirty (30) days from the date of delivery of this Summons and Complaint or a judgment of default will be entered against you for the money or other things demanded in the Complaint.

You must also file the original of your response with the clerk of this court within a reasonable time afterwards.

Issued under my hand and seal of this court this the Dday of July, 2009.

CIRCUIT CLERK OF WARREN

LISA THOMPSON, AS NATURAL MOTHER AND NEXT OF FRIEND OF $^{\prime}$ T.S. A MINOR

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CAUSE NO. <u>09.0019</u>-CL

REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., SPORTING GOODS PROPERTIES, INC., E.I. DU PONT DENEMOURS AND COMPANY, JOHN DOES 1 THROUGH 10

DEFENDANTS

SUMMONS

THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

To:

SPORTING GOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

By and through its registered agent: Corporation Trust Company 1209 Orange Street

Wilimington, DE 19801

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT(S)

THE COMPLAINT WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

You are required to mail or hand-deliver a copy of a written response to the following attorney for the Plaintiff: Lee D. Thames, Jr., VARNER, PARKER & SESSUMS, P.A., 1110 Jackson Street Post Office Box 1237, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39181(601) 638-8741.

Your response must be mailed or hand-delivered within thirty (30) days from the date of delivery of this Summons and Complaint or a judgment of default will be entered against you for the money or other things demanded in the Complaint.

You must also file the original of your response with the clerk of this court within a reasonable time afterwards.

Issued under my hand and seal of this court this the 10 day of July, 2009.

CIRCUIT CLERK OF WARREN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

la breakle

COVER SHEET	Court Identification Docket Number	Casa Year Ducket Number	
Civil Case Filing Form (To be completed by Attorney/Part Prior to Filing of Pleading)	0=000	009 0009	
Mississippi Supreme Court Form AOC/01 Administrative Office of Courts (Revised S/11/20)	Month Date Year This area to be completed by Clerk	Local Docket ID Case Number if lifed prior to 1/1/94	
In the CICUIT Short Style of Case: LIGO MONDOON OF IN THE STY Filling initial Pleading: Type/Print Name Check (/) if Not an Attorney Compensatory Damages Sought: **Schild Support contemplated as an issue in this suit of Check (/) if Individual Plantiff is acting in capacity a Estate of Check (/) if Individual Plantiff is acting in capacity a Estate of Check (/) if Individual Plantiff is acting in capacity a UBIA / Agency JUSIA / Agency Septembant -Name of Defendant (First Name in Short sindividual Cast Name Check (/) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity in Check (/) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity in Check (/) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity in Check (/) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity in Check (/) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity DIBIA / Agency Susiness Check (/) if Business Defendant is acting in capacity in Check (/) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity in Check (/) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity in Check (/) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity in Check (/) if Individual Defendant is acting in capacity in Check (/) if Business Defendant is filing suit in the number of the Individual Defendant is filing suit in the number of Individual Defendant is filing suit in the number of Individual Defendant is filing suit in the number of Individual Defendant is filing suit in the number of Individual Defendant is filing suit in the number of Individual Defendant is filing suit in the number of Individual Defendant is filing suit in the number of Individual Defendant is filing suit in the number of Individual Defendant is filing suit in the number of Individual Defendant is Individual Individual Defendant is I	Court of Warren At the Markey The Hec Vice Signature Punifive Damages Sought Punifive Damages Sought Punifive Damages Sought Sheet with Fin E ENTERED FIRST (FIRST MAME IN SHORT STYLE First Name Maiden Name, if Applica S Executor(INX) or Administrator(Inx) of an Es Business Owner/Operator (d/b/a) or State / Interest Name Maiden Name, if Applica True) - Enter Additional Defendants on S First Name Maiden Name, if Applica rase of an entity other than the above, and enter True) - Enter Additional Defendants on S First Name Maiden Name, if Applica rase Business Owner/Operator (d/b/a) or State Assecutor(Inx) or Administrator(Inx) of an Table S The St Name Maiden Name, if Applica The St Name Maiden Name Maiden Name The St Name Maiden Name Maiden Name The St Name Maiden Name Maiden Name The St Name Maiden Nam	COF T.S. A MINOR V. Rem Sked, please submit a completed Child Support Informs and Decree/Judgment - Enter Adolfform. Plantiffs on Separate Form ble Middle Ind. Justiffit State, and enter style: - Pagency, and enter entity:	
n left hand column, check one (1) box hat best describes the nature of this suit. In right hand column check all soxes which indicate secondary claims. Business/Commercial Accounting (Business) Bankruptcy Business Dissolution - Corporation Business Dissolution - Partnership Debt Collection Employment Examination of Debtor Execution Foreign Judgment Garnishment Pension Receivership Replevin Stockholder Suit Other Contempt Domestic Relations Child Custody/Visitation Child Support Contempt Divorce: Frauit Divorce: Irreconcilable Differences Domestic Abuse Emancipation Modification Paternity Property Division Separate Maintenance Termination of Parental Rights UIFSA (formerly URESA) Other Contract Breach of Contract Installment Contract Installment Contract Insurance Product Lishilling under Contract	Accounting (Probate) Birth Certificate Correction Commitment Conservationship Guardianship Heirship Injestate Estate Mingra Settlement Muniment of Title Name Change Power of Attorney Testate Estate Will Contest Other Statutes/Rules Bond Validation Civil Forfeiture Declaratory Judgment ERISA Eminent Domain Extraordinary Writ Federal Statites Injunction or Restraining Order Minicipal Annexation Rackelsering (RICO) Railroad Seaman Other Appeals Administrative Agency County Count Hardship Petition (Driver License) Justice Court	Torts-Personal Injury Bad Faith Fraud Lose of Consortium Malpractice - Legal Malpractice - Medical Malpractice - Legal Malpractice - Medical Malpractice - Medical Malpractice - Medical Malpractice - Medical Malp	

THE REAL OCIVIL CASES, THE ABET COURT

while the Hickory we have

White and a Countrie My

LLICA HIDHOGON AS NATURAL MOTHER OF ALL VO REMEMBERON ARROUND TO Receipt No. Call

Same of the Control o		Paid by MICOK	100,000	
LL 1, 09,0079 CI	Appl H	A COST COST	01 W	
	10 1 78 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		2.00 10.00	
	COURT REPORTER LAW LIBRARY		2,50	
	COURT CONSTITUENT		2.00	
	COMPR COURT FUND CIVIL ASSIST FUND		5.00	

Payment received from David M. Sessims

Titule excellul. 32740 Received 7/10/2009 at 12:25 Drawer 1 I.O. DEAN more but Amounts

According the President Class

a, Dean beauty of shelly remier true, correction

Carried II Congression Co.

March H

Part by This

Buch of Little Coll

	CORPLETE THIS SECTION ON DEGIVERY
SENDER: CONPLETE THIS SECTION Compliste items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the malipleoe, or on the front if space permits. Anicle Addressed to: Company	A Strington X Naca Centre Agent B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Drite of Delivery 1 Sa ECONS 7 9 0 9 D. le delivery address different frem libra. 17 Yes If YES, error delivery address below:
1007 Market St. D-4076 Dupont Legal Wilmington, DE 19998	3. Service Type SI Certified Mail Registered Insured Meil C.O.D. 1. Restricted Delivery? (Extra, Feet) SI Yea
m_neter from \$600(00 ia00)	0 0003 3698 62403 eturn Receipt 192598-02-44-154

FILED

09,0079-CF

FILED

JUL 2 2, 2009

SHELLY ASHLEY-PALM TREE, GROUT CLERK

PS Form 3811, February 2004

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLIE THE SECTION OF DELIVERY
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the malipiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Sport ing Goods Priparius;	D. Is delivery address different from Norm 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: No
Famington Armstompa Inc. Tim Boldon P.O. Box 700 Modison, NC 270025-0	3. Service Type
2. Article Number 7007 (Transfer from service label)	1490 0003 3698 6430
20 E 3811 Esharant 2004 Dome	estic Return Receipt 102505-02-M-154